

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/006,394	12/10/2001	Yi Li	PF187D1C1	8404
22195 75	590 03/24/2005		EXAMINER	
HUMAN GENOME SCIENCES INC			BRANNOCK, MICHAEL T	
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPT. 14200 SHADY GROVE ROAD		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ROCKVILLE,			1646	,
			DATE MAILED: 03/24/2009	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·						
Office Action Commons		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/006,394	LI ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Michael Brannock	1646			
Period for	· The MAILING DATE of this communication · Reply	n appears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
A SHC THE M - Extens after S - If the p - If NO p - Failure Any re	PRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RIMALING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (Sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF (EX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by staply received by the Office later than three months after the dipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tign. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from statute, cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status		·				
1) 🔲 🛚	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	22 December 2004.				
2a)⊠ `	This action is FINAL . 2b)	This action is non-final.				
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositio	on of Claims					
5) (6) (7) (Claim(s) 21-28 is/are pending in the application of the above claim(s) is/are with Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 21-28 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	ndrawn from consideration.				
Application	on Papers		}			
10)⊠ T	The specification is objected to by the Example of the drawing(s) filed on 10 December 2001 Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the confine oath or declaration is objected to by the	is/are: a) accepted or b) object the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Securection is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)[•	nents have been received. nents have been received in Applicat priority documents have been receiv ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage			
2) Notice 3) Inform	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SINO(s)/Mail Date					

DETAILED ACTION

Status of Application: Claims and Amendments

Applicant is notified that the amendments put forth on 12/22/04, have been entered in full.

Response to Amendment

Applicant is notified that any outstanding objection or rejection that is not expressly maintained in this Office action has been withdrawn in view of Applicant's amendments.

Maintained Rejections:

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 21-28 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. > 101 because the claimed invention is not supported by either a specific and substantial asserted utility or a well-established utility as set forth previously and reiterated below.

Claims 21-28 are directed to a polypeptide of SEQ ID NO: 2. The instant specification puts forth that the polypeptide is useful in a screening method to determine what ligands may activate or inhibit the polypeptide and also to determine what the physiological effects of the polypeptide might be (see page 4). This proposed use lacks a specific and substantial utility. It

Application/Control Number: 10/006,394

Art Unit: 1646

is not a specific use because any integral membrane protein could be used in exactly the same way. Further, many polynucleotides are known in the art to encode polypeptides, yet the polypeptides have no known function or known ligands. Any of these orphan clones could be used in the manner described in the specification for the claimed polynucleotide.

Furthermore, the proposed use of the polypeptide to screen for ligands of the polypeptide or for biologic effects of the polypeptide is not a substantial utility. A substantial utility is a practical use which amounts to more than a starting point for further research and investigation and does not require or constitute carrying out further research to identify or reasonably confirm what the practical use might ultimately be. For example, an assay that measures the presence of a material which has a stated correlation to a predisposition to the onset of a particular disease condition would be a practical use of the material. However, a method of treating an unspecified disease or condition with a material that has no particular correlation with a disease would not constitute a substantial utility. Basic research, such as studying the properties of the claimed product or the mechanisms in which the product is involved, does not constitute a substantial utility.

The specification puts forth that compounds that bind to and activate or inhibit the polypeptide of SEQ ID NO: 2 are useful in the prevention and/or treatment of a variety of diseases including upper respiratory conditions, hypertension and myocardial diseases (see pages 4 and 5). A stated belief that a correlation exists between the polypeptides and any number of diseases is not sufficient guidance to use the claimed polynucleotides to treat and/or diagnosis a particular disease; it merely defines a starting point for further research and investigation.

Application/Control Number: 10/006,394

Art Unit: 1646

The instant application has failed to provide guidance as to how one of skill in the art could use the claimed invention in a way that constitutes a specific or substantial utility. The proposed uses of the claimed invention are simply starting points for further research and investigation into potential practical uses of the claimed nucleic acids.

Additionally, claim 28 does not require that the polypeptide be isolated and therefore reads on a protein present in a human body and therefore encompasses non-statutory subject matter.

Applicant argues that not every integral membrane protein could be used the exactly the same way in which the instant polypeptide can be used because there are differences between types of integral membrane proteins. This argument has been fully considered but not deemed persuasive. All integral membrane proteins can be used to find compounds that bind to them or inhibit their functions, whether or not they have a catalytic domain as asserted by Applicant, absent evidence to the contrary.

Applicant argues that the polypeptide is a member of the GPCR family of proteins and that it shows homology to a known adrenergic receptor. These facts are not disputed and the argument has been fully considered but not deemed persuasive. The issue is that the specification fails to assert that the instant polypeptide is an adrenergic receptor or any other particular receptor.

Applicant argues that the specification asserts that the polypeptide is involved in a variety of disease states and that antibody antagonists are taught in the specification; also that the PTO has no reason to doubt these assertions.

Application/Control Number: 10/006,394

Art Unit: 1646

This argument has been fully considered but not deemed persuasive. A stated belief that a correlation exists between the polypeptides and any number of disparate diseases is not sufficient guidance to use the claimed polynucleotides to treat and/or diagnosis a particular disease; it merely defines a starting point for further research and investigation. That the polypeptide might ultimately be found to be involved in a particular disease is not disputed.

Applicant's arguments regarding adrenergic receptors have been fully considered but not deemed persuasive. The specification does not assert that the polypeptide is an adrenergic receptor.

Applicant argues that the specification need not prove that a correlation exists between a particular activity and a therapeutic use. This argument has been fully considered but not deemed persuasive. The issue is that the specification has failed to make an assertion of a particular activity or a particular therapeutic use. Applicant's amendment has obviated the bases of the rejection applied to claim 28 as being non-statutory.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 21-28 are also rejected under 35 U.S.C. ∋ 112 first paragraph. Specifically, since the claimed invention is not supported by either a specific and substantial asserted utility or a

Art Unit: 1646

well established utility for the reasons set forth above, one skilled in the art would not know how to use the claimed invention so that it would operate as intended without undue experimentation as set forth previously.

Applicants' arguments regarding the 35 U.S.C. § 112 rejection as the corollary of the 35 U.S.C. § 101 rejection have been addressed above.

Conclusion

No claims are allowable.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX months.

Please note the new central fax number for official correspondence below:

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Brannock, Ph.D., whose telephone number is (571) 272-

Art Unit: 1646

0869. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays through Fridays from 10:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anthony Caputa, Ph.D., can be reached at (571) 272-0829. Official papers filed by fax should be directed to 571-273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

MB

March 21, 2005

ELECTRICA MERCANICA PRIMARY EXCERNAL

Olyabet C. Klemmeres